

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, P.O. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/033,848	12/19/2001	Mohammed N. Islam	20434-758	8994	
5073 75	590 . 12/04/2002	•			
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.			EXAMINER		
2001 ROSS AV SUITE 600			MONBLEAU, DAVIENNE N		
DALLAS, TX	/5201-2980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2828	2828	
			DATÉ MAILED: 12/04/2002	DATÉ MAILED: 12/04/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- The				
<del></del>	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	•	10/033,848	ISLAM, MOHAMMED N.				
Offic Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Davienne Monbleau	2828				
	Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address Period for Reply						
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP	I V IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONT	TH/S) EDOM				
THE	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION	•					
after	nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.						
- If NO	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re period for reply is specified above, the maximum statulory period	d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f	rom the mailing date of this communication.				
- Any r	re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu eply received by the Office later than three months after the maili and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	o patom torm adjustmont. Good of Griff 1.704(b).						
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02	<u> July 2002</u> .	·				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>95-97,99,100 and 102-104</u> is/are pe	ending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		0				
<u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>95-97,99,100 and 102-104</u> is/are rej	ected.	Parlop				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		PAUL IP				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800				
Applicati	on Papers		TECHNOLOGY GENTER 2000				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12/19/01</u> is/are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	<del>-</del>	• •				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
<u> </u>	Inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage     application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14)⊠ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
15)⊠(A Attachment	-	suc priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1	zu and/or 121.				
_	(S) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [ ]-t:: 0:	iani (PTO 413) Pener No/o)				
2) 🔲 Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 10/033,848

Art Unit: 2828

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Information Disclosure Statement

The IDS filed on 3/12/02, 7/12/02 and 7/25/02 has been acknowledged and a signed copy of each PTO-1449 is attached herein.

# **Double Patenting**

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 95-97 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,356,384. This is a double patenting rejection.

Claims 95-97, 99, 100 and 102-104 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 91 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,052,393. This is a double patenting rejection.

Claims 95-97 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of copending Application No. 09/765,972. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 2828

Claims 99, 100, and 102-104 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 6 of copending Application No. 09/765,972. This is a

provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 95-97 provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-3 of copending Application No. 09/990,142. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Davienne Monbleau whose telephone number is 703-306-5803. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on 703-308-3098. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

DAIM

November 19, 2002

orience Monbleau

PAUL IP

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800